Ventilation tubes did not improve quality of life in persistent otitis media with effusion


**Question**

In young children with persistent otitis media with effusion (OME), is treatment with ventilation tubes more effective than a period of watchful waiting for improving quality of life?

**Design**

Randomized [allocation concealed]†, unblinded,* controlled trial with 12-month follow-up.

**Setting**

13 hospitals in the Netherlands.

**Patients**

206 children who had persistent OME confirmed by tympanometry and otoscopy. 19 children (9.2%) withdrew from the trial immediately after randomization, leaving 187 children (mean age 19 mo) in the study sample. 176 children (85%) completed follow-up.

**Intervention**

Patients were allocated to ventilation tubes (n = 93) or watchful waiting (n = 94).

**Main outcome measures**

Quality of life was measured using the TNO-AZL Infant Quality of Life questionnaire (TAIQOL) (46 items covering 13 domains; 9 domains were used in the analysis). Parent–child interaction was measured by using the Erickson scales, which include 5 child scales (affection, avoidance, compliance, negativity, and reliance) and 5 parent scales (supportive presence, respect, structure and limits, instruction, and hostility).

**Main results**

The study had power to detect a difference of 0.5 on a 12-point scale in improvement on the TAIQOL questionnaire between groups. At 12 months, quality of life improved in 6 domains (vitality, communication, motoric, social, eating, and sleeping) and deteriorated in 3 domains (appetite, anxiety, and aggression). The ventilation-tube group showed no greater improvement or less deterioration than the watchful-waiting group in any of the domains. A multivariate analysis of variance was done to detect evidence of a treatment effect for all domains combined and showed no difference between groups at 6 months (P = 0.22) or 12 months (P = 0.94). The groups did not differ for Erickson scores between baseline and 12 months. No treatment effect was seen for all domains combined for the child scales at 6 months (P = 0.19) or 12 months (P = 0.38) or for the parent scales (P = 0.21 and P = 0.95, respectively).

**Conclusion**

In young children with persistent otitis media with effusion detected by screening, treatment with ventilation tubes did not improve quality of life more than did watchful waiting.

Source of funding: Dutch Investigative Medicine Fund of the National Health Insurance Board.
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*See Glossary.
†Information provided by author.

**Commentary**

Recent randomized trials using the same patient sample as that of the study by Rovers and colleagues (1) and another cohort (2) suggest that early placement of ventilation tubes offers no advantage in language and other developmental outcomes in young children. In the current study, Rovers and colleagues report no effect of tubes on quality of life, yet other studies document a high rate of parental dissatisfaction with tube placement (3, 4).

A challenge of quality-of-life research is selection of the right measurement tool. Generic instruments like those used here are good for comparisons of different diseases, but for longitudinal changes in a given health condition, a disease-specific measure may be more responsive (5).

A multicenter before-and-after trial using the OM-6, a reliable and responsive quality-of-life measure of otitis media—specific symptoms, showed a large improvement in such symptoms following tube placement (6). These results warrant a well-designed randomized trial using the OM-6 before we conclude that ventilation tubes have no beneficial effect on quality of life.
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