

Warfarin combined with low-dose aspirin in MI did not provide clinical benefit beyond that of aspirin alone

Fiore LD, Ezekowitz MD, Brophy MT, et al., for the Combination Hemotherapy and Mortality Prevention (CHAMP) Study Group. Department of Veterans Affairs Cooperative Studies Program Clinical Trial comparing combined warfarin and aspirin with aspirin alone in survivors of acute myocardial infarction. Primary results of the CHAMP study. *Circulation*. 2002 Feb 5;105:557-63.

QUESTION

In patients who have survived an acute myocardial infarction (MI), is warfarin combined with aspirin more effective than aspirin alone?

DESIGN

Randomized (allocation concealed*), unblinded,* controlled trial with a median 2.7-year follow-up.

SETTING

78 Department of Veterans Affairs medical centers in the United States.

PATIENTS

5059 patients (median age 62 y, 98% men) who had an acute MI within the previous 14 days. Exclusion criteria included comorbid conditions that limited life expectancy to < 2 years, ongoing bleeding, drug or alcohol dependence, and hypersensitivity to aspirin or warfarin. Vital status was obtained for 99% of the patients.

INTERVENTION

2522 patients were assigned to warfarin (target international normalized ratio [INR] 1.5 to 2.5 IU) plus aspirin (81 mg/d), and 2537 were assigned to aspirin alone (162 mg/d).

MAIN OUTCOME MEASURES

The primary outcome was all-cause mortality. Secondary outcomes were recurrent MI, stroke, and major hemorrhage.

MAIN RESULTS

Analysis was by intention to treat. The median INR in the warfarin group was 1.8 IU. Groups did not differ for all-cause mortality, recurrent MI, or stroke (Table). The study had 80% power for detecting a 15% reduction in annual mortality with combination therapy relative to that with aspirin alone. More major bleeding occurred in patients in the warfarin-plus-aspirin group than in those assigned to aspirin alone (1.28 vs 0.72 events per 100 person-years of follow-up, $P < 0.001$). Intracranial hemorrhage rates

were identical in the 2 groups (14 patients per treatment group).

CONCLUSIONS

In patients who have survived an acute myocardial infarction, warfarin combined with low-dose aspirin did not provide a clinical benefit beyond that achieved with aspirin alone. Major bleeding occurred more frequently with combination therapy.

Sources of funding: Cooperative Studies Program of the Department of Veterans Affairs Office of Research and Development; DuPont Pharmaceuticals; Bayer Pharmaceuticals.

For correspondence: Dr. L. Fiore, VA Boston Healthcare System, Boston, MA, USA. E-mail louis.fiore@med.va.gov.

*See Glossary.

Warfarin plus aspirin (W+A) vs aspirin alone (A) in acute myocardial infarction (MI) at a median of 2.7 years†

Outcomes	W+A	A	RRI (95% CI)	NNH
All-cause mortality	17.6%	17.3%	2% (-10 to 15)	Not significant
Recurrent MI	13.3%	13.1%	2% (-12 to 17)	Not significant
			RRR (CI)	NNT
Stroke	3.1%	3.5%	11% (-20 to 34)	Not significant

†Abbreviations defined in Glossary; RRI, RRR, NNH, NNT, and CI calculated from data in article.

COMMENTARY

The long-term use of low-dose antiplatelet drugs (e.g., aspirin and clopidogrel) to prevent recurrent infarction is established practice. Aiming to enhance survival after MI, some research groups have studied combined warfarin anticoagulation therapy with the antithrombotic action of aspirin.

The CHAMP study shows that combining low-intensity warfarin anticoagulation therapy (median INR < 2.0) with aspirin for about 3 years does not prolong life beyond the effect of aspirin alone, regardless of when the treatment was started after MI. Moreover, the combination decreased neither MI recurrence nor stroke. The results concur with a similar study in patients with acute coronary syndromes, which suggested better survival at 3 months only (1). Short-term prophylaxis merits further study.

Could some subsets of patients receive particular benefit from a warfarin-plus-aspirin treatment? In the CHAMP study, the infarction location and left ventricular function did not affect total mortality. Bleeding risks increase with biological age, but we do not know at what age the risks for antithrombotic action begin to outweigh the survival gain; therefore, we cannot use age as a guide.

Combined treatment in the CHAMP study caused 1.7 times more major bleeding than did aspirin alone, without adding any further benefit. The careful patient monitoring done during a trial would be

expected to minimize major bleeding; so this finding is probably an underestimate of the harm that would occur in usual clinical practice. The evidence argues against adding low-intensity warfarin anticoagulation therapy to aspirin in patients who have survived MI.

A regimen adding moderate (INR > 2) or high-intensity (INR > 3) warfarin anticoagulation therapy to aspirin, with or without clopidogrel, will probably cause even more bleeding than antiplatelet treatment alone and offset any additional survival gain. Likewise, we need to weigh the hemorrhagic risk associated with such regimens as clopidogrel plus aspirin against their antithrombotic efficacy. Laboratory study evidence suggests that clopidogrel plus aspirin inhibits platelet aggregation more effectively than does aspirin alone (2). Furthermore, the combination was more effective than aspirin alone in unstable angina with non-ST-segment elevation MI (3).

Vernon M. Oh, MD, FRCP
National University Hospital
Singapore, Republic of Singapore

References

1. Anand SS, Yusuf S, Poque J, Weitz JI, Flather M. *Circulation*. 1998;98:1064-70.
2. Moshfegh K, Redondo M, Julmy F, et al. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2000;36:699-705.
3. Yusuf S, Zhao F, Mehta SR, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2001;345:494-502.